

Exotic Quantum Double,Its Universal R-matrix And Their Representations

Chang-Pu Sun ¹

Institute for Theoretical Physics,State University of New York,Stony
Brook, NY 11794-3840,USA

Abstract

The exotic quantum double and its universal R-matrix for quantum Yang-Baxter equation are constructed in terms of Drinfeld's quantum double theory. As a new quasi-triangular Hopf algebra, it is much different from those standard quantum doubles that are the q-deformations for Lie algebras or Lie superalgebras. By studying its representation theory, many-parameter representations of the exotic quantum double are obtained with an explicit example associated with Lie algebra A_2 . The multi-parameter R-matrices for the quantum Yang-Baxter equation can result from the universal R-matrix of this exotic quantum double and these representations.

¹Permanent address: Physics Department, Northeast Normal University, Changchun 130024, P.R. China

1.Introduction

In recent years, the quantum Yang-Baxter equation (QYBE) [1,2] has become a focus of the attention from both theoretical physicists and mathematicians. This is because the QYBE is a key to the complete integrability of many physical systems appearing in the quantum inverse scattering methods [3,4], the exactly-solvable models in statistical mechanics [5] and low-dimensional quantum field theory [6]. In solving the QYBE in a general way and classifying its solutions (R-matrices) algebraically, a remarkable mathematical structure—the quasi-triangular Hopf algebra (loosely called quantum group) are found in connection with the QYBE [7-10]. Among these developments, the Drinfeld's quantum double [7] theory provides one with a general construction to systematically obtain solutions of the QYBE in terms of the quantum doubles (QDs), which usually are the 'q-deformations' of certain algebras, and their representations. The recent studies show that, not only the standard R-matrices [11-13], but also the non-standard ones [14-16], such as the R-matrices with non-additive spectral parameters [17-23], the colored R-matrices [24-28], can be obtained in the framework of Drinfeld's QD theory, but for the latter the cyclic representations and other non-generic ones at roots of unity [30-39] and some parameterization of the quantum (universal enveloping) algebras [40-41] need to be considered. The purpose of the present paper is to search for the exotic quantum doubles, other than those 'q-deformations', so that the new universal R-matrix can be obtained for the QYBE based on Drinfeld's quantum double theory.

To proceed our discussion conveniently, we need to outline some basic ideas in Drinfeld's QD theory so that the notations used in this paper can be clarified. Suppose we are given two Hopf algebras A, B and a non-degenerate bilinear form $\langle, \rangle: A \times B \rightarrow C$ (the complex field) satisfying the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle a, b_1 b_2 \rangle &= \langle \Delta_A(a), b_1 \otimes b_2 \rangle, a \in A, b_1, b_2 \in B, \\
\langle a_1 a_2, b \rangle &= \langle a_2 \otimes a_1, \Delta_B(b) \rangle, a_1, a_2 \in A, b \in B \\
\langle 1_A, b \rangle &= \epsilon_B(b), b \in B, \\
\langle a, 1_B \rangle &= \epsilon_A(a), a \in A \\
\langle S_A(a), S_B(b) \rangle &= \langle a, b \rangle, a \in A, b \in B
\end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where for $C=A, B$, Δ_C , ϵ_C and S_C are the coproduct, counit and antipode of C respectively; 1_C is the unit of C . Drinfeld's QD theory (for a comprehensive reviews see the refs. [42,44])

states the central results in the QD theory as follows:

Theorem 1. *There exists a Hopf algebra D satisfying the following conditions*

1. D contains A and B as Hopf subalgebras;
2. The mapping $A \times B \rightarrow D : a \otimes b \rightarrow ab$ is an isomorphism of vector space;
3. For any $a \in A, b \in B$, we have multiplication

$$ba = \sum_{i,j} \langle a_i(1), S(b_j(1)) \rangle \langle a_i(3), b_j(3) \rangle a_i(2) b_j(2) \quad (1.2)$$

where $c_i(k) (k = 1, 2, 3; c = a, b)$ are defined by

$$\Delta^2(c) = (id \otimes \Delta)\Delta(c) = (\Delta \otimes id)\Delta(c) = \sum_i c_i(1) \otimes c_i(2) \otimes c_i(3)$$

Theorem 2 *There exists an unique element*

$$\hat{R} = \sum_m a_m \otimes b_m \in A \times B \subset D \times D$$

obeying the “abstract” QYBE

$$\hat{R}_{12} \hat{R}_{13} \hat{R}_{23} = \hat{R}_{23} \hat{R}_{13} \hat{R}_{12}, \quad (1.3)$$

where a_m and b_m are the basis vecors of A and B respectively, and they are dual each other, ie., $\langle a_m, b_n \rangle = \delta_{m,n}$;

$$\hat{R}_{12} = \sum_m a_m \otimes b_m \otimes 1, \hat{R}_{13} = \sum_m a_m \otimes 1 \otimes b_m, \hat{R}_{23} = \sum_m 1 \otimes a_m \otimes b_m$$

where 1 is the unit of D .

Up to now, the QD's built explicitly are only the quantum (universal enveloping) algebras and superalgebras and their parameterizations. They are the q-deformations of the universal algebras and possess a ‘standard’ quantum double structure that both the subalgebras A and B are non-commutative and non-cocommutative. This symmetric structure reflects the duality of A and B . Notice that these standard quantum doubles approach the usual universal enveloping algebras (UEA) in the classical limit $q \rightarrow 1$. In this paper, we will construct so-called exotic quantum doubles (EQD) that are not those q-deformations and possess asymmetric dual structure that one of

the subalgebras A and B is commutative but non-cocommutative and another cocommutative but non-commutative. As new quasi-triangular Hopf algebras, these EQDs naturally enjoy the QYBE, but they have not the usual classical limit.

This paper is arranged as follows. In section 2, we take the sub-Borel subalgebra of the UEA of the classical Lie algebra as the Hopf subalgebra A with cocommutative coproduct in the QD construction and then built its quantum dual as a non-cocommutative but commutative Hopf subalgebra B. In section 3, we combine A and B to form the exotic quantum double and thereby obtain the new universal R-matrix for the QYBE. In section 4, we discuss an explicit example of EQDs, which is connected with the Lie algebra A_2 in details. In section 5, we study the representation theory of the EQD with the above example and construct a class of many-parameter representations to built the many-parameter R-matrices for the QYBE. Finally, in section 6, we give some remarks on the problems and the possible developments in the EQD.

2. Quantum Dual for Non-simple Lie Algebra

Let $\phi^+ : \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots\}$ be the system of all positive roots with respect to a simple root system of a classical Lie algebra L. A Cartan elements $h \in H$ (the Cartan subalgebra) and all the positive root vectors $\{e_\alpha, \mid \alpha \in \phi^+\}$ generate an associative algebra A with the relations on the Cartan-Weyl basis

$$[h, e_\alpha] = \alpha(h)e_\alpha, \quad (2.1)$$

$$[e_\alpha, e_\beta] = N_{\alpha, \beta} e_{\alpha+\beta}$$

where $\alpha \in H^*$ and the coefficients $N_{\alpha, \beta}$ enjoy the structure of the Lie algebra L. In fact, the algebra A is a subalgebra of the Borel subalgebra of the universal enveloping algebra (UEA) of the Lie algebra L. Defining the algebraic homomorphisms $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$, $\epsilon : A \rightarrow C$ and the algebraic antihomomorphism $S : A \rightarrow A$ by

$$\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x, S(x) = -x, \epsilon(x) = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

where $x \in \{h, e_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \phi^+\}$, one gives the algebra a “trivial” (cocommutative) Hopf algebraic structure. It is a well-known fact in the theory of Hopf algebra since we can regard the algebra A as an UEA of the non-simple Lie algebra with basis

$\{h, e_\alpha, \alpha \in \phi^+\}$. However, the Hopf algebraic dual (quantum dual) B of A is non-trivial (non-cocommutative) due to the duality of B to A . Now, we derive the structure of A in terms of this duality.

Because A is cocommutative, its dual is an Abelian algebra with commuting generators. So the associative algebraic structure is quite simple. To consider the Hopf algebraic structure, we set an order for the basis of A : If $\alpha - \beta$ is a non-zero positive root, then we say $\alpha \succ \beta$; the basis for A is written down to enjoy this order as

$$\{a(m, m_\alpha) = h^m \prod_{\alpha \in \phi^+} e_\alpha^{m_\alpha} = h^m \dots e_\beta^{m_\beta} \dots e_\gamma^{m_\gamma} \dots e_\delta^{m_\delta} \dots \mid \\ \dots \delta \succ \dots \gamma \dots \succ \dots \beta, m_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^+ = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}\}$$

Suppose that the dual Hopf algebra B to A is generated by the dual generators $t, f_\alpha (\alpha \in \phi^+)$ to h, e_α respectively. They are defined by the following pairs in terms of a bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \geq 2$

$$\langle h, t \rangle = 1, \langle x, t \rangle = 0, \langle e_\alpha, f_\alpha \rangle = 1, \langle y, f_\alpha \rangle = 0; \quad (2.3)$$

where x and y are the basis elements of A other than h, e_α respectively.

Proposition 1. For $m_\alpha, n_\alpha, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+ (\alpha \in \phi^+)$,

$$\langle h^m \prod_{\alpha \in \phi^+} e_\alpha^{m_\alpha}, t^l \prod_{\alpha \in \phi^+} f_\alpha^{n_\alpha} \rangle = \delta_{m,l} m! \prod_{\alpha \in \phi^+} m_\alpha! \delta_{m_\alpha, n_\alpha}, \quad (2.4)$$

namely, the vectors

$$b(m, m_\alpha) = \frac{t^m}{m!} \prod_{\alpha \in \phi^+} \frac{f_\alpha^{m_\alpha}}{m_\alpha!}, \quad (2.5)$$

form a dual basis for B to $a(m, m_\alpha)$ respectively:

$$\langle a(m, m_\alpha), b(n, n_\alpha) \rangle = \delta_{m,n} \prod_{\alpha \in \phi^+} \delta_{m_\alpha, n_\alpha}$$

Proof. Thanks to the duality between A and B , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h^l, t^m \rangle &= \langle \Delta(h^l), t^{m-1} \otimes t \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^l \frac{l!}{k!(l-k)!} \langle h^{l-k} \otimes h^k, t^{m-1} \otimes t \rangle \\ &= l \langle h^{l-1}, t^{m-1} \rangle = \dots = l! \delta_{l,m} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $G = e_\alpha, F = f_\alpha$ respectively,

$$\langle G^m, F^n \rangle = m! \delta_{m,n}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle h^m G^n, F^s \rangle &= \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{m!n!}{(m-k)!k!(n-r)!r!} \langle h^{m-k} G^{n-r} \otimes h^k G^r, F \otimes F^{s-1} \rangle \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{m!n!}{(m-k)!k!(n-r)!r!} \delta_{n-r,1} \delta_{m-k,0} \langle h^k G^r, F^{s-1} \rangle \\
&= n \langle h^m G^{n-1}, F^{s-1} \rangle = n! \delta_{n,s} \delta_{m,0}; \\
\langle h^m G^n, t^r F^s \rangle &= \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{m!n!}{(m-k)!k!(n-r)!r!} \langle h^{m-k} G^{n-r} \otimes h^k G^r, t^l \otimes F^s \rangle \\
&= \langle h^m, t^l \rangle \langle G^n, F^n \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the above calculations that

$$\langle a(m, m^\alpha), b(n, n^\alpha) \rangle = \langle h^m, t^n \rangle \prod_{\alpha \in \phi^+} \langle e_\alpha^{m_\alpha}, f_\alpha^{n_\alpha} \rangle = m! \delta_{m,n} \prod_{\alpha \in \phi^+} m_\alpha! \delta_{m_\alpha, n_\alpha}$$

In this position, we can deduce the Hopf algebraic structure, ie, $(\Delta = \Delta_B, \epsilon = \epsilon_B, S = S_B)$ of the algebra B. Let us first consider $\Delta(f_\gamma)$. Notice that the reduced linear form $\langle \cdot, \Delta(f_\gamma) \rangle$ is non-zero only on $e_\gamma \otimes 1, h^n \otimes e_\gamma, h^n e_\alpha \otimes e_\beta (\beta \succ \alpha)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle e_\gamma \otimes 1, \Delta(f_\gamma) \rangle &= \langle 1, e_\gamma, f_\gamma \rangle = 1; \\
\langle h^n \otimes e_\gamma, \Delta(f_\gamma) \rangle &= \langle e_\gamma h^n, f_\gamma \rangle = \langle (h - \gamma(h))^n e_\gamma, f_\gamma \rangle = (-\gamma(h))^n; \\
\langle h^n e_\alpha \otimes e_\beta, f_\gamma \rangle &= \langle (h - \beta(h))^n e_\beta e_\alpha, f_\gamma \rangle \\
&= (-\beta(h))^n \langle e_\alpha e_\beta - N_{\alpha,\beta} e_{\alpha+\beta}, f_\gamma \rangle = -(-\beta(h))^n N_{\alpha,\beta} \delta(\alpha + \beta, \delta).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(f_\gamma) &= f_\gamma \otimes 1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\gamma(h))^n t^n}{n!} \otimes f_\gamma \\
&- \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \phi^+} N_{\alpha,\beta} \delta(\alpha + \beta, \gamma) \theta(\beta - \alpha) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\beta(h))^n t^n}{n!} f_\alpha \otimes f_\beta
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta(\alpha, \beta) &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \alpha = \beta; \\ 0, & \text{if } \alpha \neq \beta \end{cases} \\
\theta(\alpha) &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \alpha (\neq 0) \in \phi^+; \\ 0, & \text{if } \alpha \notin \phi^+ \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

For $S(f_\gamma)$ and $\beta \succ \alpha$, the only non-zero pairs are

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle h^n e_\alpha e_\beta, S(f_\gamma) \rangle = \langle (-1)^n S(e_\beta e_\alpha h^n), S(f_\gamma) \rangle = (-1)^n \langle e_\beta e_\alpha h^n, f_\gamma \rangle \\
& = (-1)^n \langle (h - \beta(h) - \alpha(h))^n (e_\alpha e_\beta - N_{\alpha,\beta} e_{\alpha+\beta}), f_\gamma \rangle = -(\beta(h) + \alpha(h))^n N_{\alpha,\beta} \delta_{\alpha+\beta,\gamma}; \\
& \langle h^n e_\gamma, S(f_\gamma) \rangle = -(-1)^n \langle S(e_\gamma h^n), S(f_\gamma) \rangle = -(-1)^n \langle e_\gamma h^n, f_\gamma \rangle \\
& = -(-1)^n \langle (h - \gamma(h))^n e_\gamma, f_\gamma \rangle = -(\gamma(h))^n
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
S(f_\gamma) &= - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma(h)^n t^n}{n!} f_\gamma \\
&+ \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \phi^+} N_{\alpha,\beta} \theta(\beta - \alpha) \delta(\alpha + \beta, \gamma) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\beta(h) + \alpha(h))^n t^n}{n!} f_\alpha f_\beta
\end{aligned}$$

In the same way we derive $\Delta(h), S(h), \epsilon(f_\alpha)$ and so on. The results are listed as follows.

Proposition 2. *The duality between A and B results in the commutative associative algebraic structure and the non-cocommutative Hopf algebraic structure defined by*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(t) &= t \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes t \\
\Delta(f_\gamma) &= f_\gamma \otimes 1 + e^{-\gamma(h)t} \otimes f_\gamma - \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \phi^+} C(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) e^{-\beta(h)t} f_\alpha \otimes f_\beta, \\
S(f_\gamma) &= -e^{\gamma(h)} (f_\gamma + \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \phi^+} C(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) f_\alpha f_\beta), \\
S(h) &= -e^{-\gamma(h)t} h, S(1) = 1; \epsilon(f_\gamma) = \epsilon(h) = 0, \epsilon(1) = 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$C(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = N_{\alpha,\beta} \theta(\beta - \alpha) \delta(\alpha + \beta, \gamma).$$

3. The Quantum Double and Its Universal R-Matrix

In this section we show how the algebra A and its quantum dual B can be combined to form a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra with the exotic structure. To define the multiplications between A and B , we need to use the following formula

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta^2(x) &= x \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes x, x = h, t, e_\alpha, \alpha \in \phi^+ \\
\Delta^2(f_\gamma) &= f_\gamma \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + e^{-\gamma(h)t} \otimes f_\gamma \otimes 1 + e^{-\gamma(h)t} \otimes e^{-\gamma(h)t} \otimes f_\gamma
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \phi^+} C(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) e^{-\gamma(h)t} \otimes e^{-\beta(h)t} f_\alpha \otimes f_\beta - \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \phi^+} C(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) e^{-\beta(h)t} f_\alpha \otimes f_\beta \otimes 1 \\
& - \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \phi^+} C(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) e^{-\beta(h)t} f_\alpha \otimes e^{-\beta(h)t} \otimes f_\beta - \\
& \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \sigma, \delta \in \phi^+} C(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) C(\sigma, \delta, \beta) e^{-\beta(h)t} f_\alpha \otimes e^{-\delta(h)t} f_\sigma \otimes f_\delta, \tag{3.1}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the above equations and the definition (1.2), we calculate the commutators $[e_\alpha, f_\gamma], [h, f_\gamma], [t, e_\alpha]$ and so on:

$$\begin{aligned}
& f_\gamma e_\gamma = \langle e_\gamma, S(f_\gamma) \rangle \langle 1, 1 \rangle 1 + \\
& \langle 1, S(e^{-\gamma(h)t}) \rangle \langle 1, 1 \rangle e_\gamma f_\gamma + \langle 1, S(e^{-\gamma(h)t}) \rangle \langle e_\gamma f_\gamma \rangle 1 e^{-\gamma(h)t} \\
& = -1 + e_\gamma f_\gamma + e^{-\gamma(h)t}; \\
& f_\gamma e_\eta = - \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \phi^+} C(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \langle e_\eta, S(e^{-\beta(h)t} f_\alpha) \rangle \langle 1, 1 \rangle 1 f_\beta + \langle 1, S(e^{-\gamma(h)t}) \rangle \langle 1, 1 \rangle e_\eta f_\gamma \\
& - \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \phi^+} C(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \langle 1, S(e^{-\gamma(h)t}) \rangle \langle e_\eta, f_\beta \rangle e^{-\beta(h)t} f_\alpha \\
& = \sum_{\beta \in \phi^+} C(\eta, \beta, \gamma) f_\beta - \sum_{\alpha \in \phi^+} C(\alpha, \eta, \gamma) e^{-\eta(h)t} f_\alpha + e_\eta f_\gamma; \tag{3.2} \\
& f_\gamma h = \langle h, S(e^{-\gamma(h)t}) \rangle \langle 1, 1 \rangle f_\gamma + \\
& \langle 1, S(e^{-\gamma(h)t}) \rangle \langle 1, 1 \rangle h f_\gamma + \gamma(h) f_\gamma + h f_\gamma; \\
& tx = \langle 1, 1 \rangle \langle 1, 1 \rangle xt
\end{aligned}$$

The above results are rewritten as follows

Proposition 4. *The multiplication between A and B is defined by the following commutators*

$$\begin{aligned}
[e_\alpha, f_\alpha] &= 1 - e^{-\alpha(h)t}, \\
[h, f_\alpha] &= -\alpha(h) f_\alpha, \\
[e_\alpha, f_\beta] &= \sum_{\gamma \in \phi^+} C(\gamma, \alpha, \beta) e^{-\alpha(h)t} f_\gamma - \sum_{\gamma \in \phi^+} C(\alpha, \gamma, \beta) f_\gamma, \\
&\alpha \neq \beta, \\
[t, x] &= 0, x = h, e_\alpha
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

The above commutators combine the algebra A with its quantum dual B to form a non-cocommutative and non-commutative Hopf algebra $D(A)=D$ as the quantum double of A(or B).As an associative algebra ,it is generated by $h, t, e_\alpha, f_\alpha, (\alpha \in \phi^+)$ and the unit 1 obeying eqs.(2.1) ,and endowed with the Hopf algebraic structure by eqs.(2.2) and (2.6).Now,let us show that this Hopf algebra D is also quasi-triangular.In fact,the construction of Drinfeld's QD theory automatically perseveres the existence of the quasi-triangular stucture.Intertweening A and B,the universal R-matrix is a canonical element

$$\hat{R} = \sum_{m, m_\alpha=0, \alpha \in \phi^+}^{\infty} a(m, m_\alpha) \otimes b(m, m_\alpha) = e^{h \otimes t} \prod_{\alpha \in \phi^+} \exp(e_\alpha \otimes f_\alpha). \quad (3.4)$$

This element $\hat{R}(\in D \otimes D)$ endows the Hopf algebra D with a quasi-triangular stucture enjoyed by the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}\Delta(x) &= \sigma\Delta(x)\hat{R}, \\ (\Delta \otimes id)\hat{R} &= \hat{R}_{13}\hat{R}_{23}, \\ (id \otimes \Delta)\hat{R} &= \hat{R}_{13}\hat{R}_{12}, \\ (\epsilon \otimes id)\hat{R} &= 1 = (id \otimes \epsilon)\hat{R}, \\ (S \otimes id)\hat{R} &= \hat{R}^{-1} = (id \otimes S)\hat{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where σ is such a permutation that $\sigma(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x, x, y \in D$.The eqs.(3.5) imply that the above constructed universal R-matrix satisfies the abstract QYBE.It is not too difficult to verify the above relations (3.5) by a straightforward calculation.

In the above discussion,we have constructed a new quantum “group”(quasi- triangular Hopf algebra) D associated with an arbitrary classical Lie algebra in terms of Drinfeld's QD theory.In comparision with the “standard” quantum ‘groups’ that are the q-defomations of UEA's of classical Lie algrbras and superalgebras,our quantum ‘group’ D possesses some new features:1.D has not the usual classical limit since it is not a q-deformation of the QEA.2.It has an exotic subalgebraic structure that the sub-algebra A is cocomutative but not commutative and the subalgebra B commutative but not cocommutative.This asymmetric structure is quite different from the symmetric structure that both A and B are non-commutative and non-cocommutative.We will call D exotic quantum double.

4.Example of The Exotic Quantum Double for A_2

In this section an explicit example of the exotic quantum double will be given in connection with the classical Lie algebra A_2 . In this example the subalgebra A is taken to be an associative algebra generated by h, a, b and the relations

$$[h, a] = \mu a, [h, b] = b, \quad (4.1a)$$

$$[a, [a, b]] = 0 = [b, [b, a]], \quad (4.1b)$$

The generators a and b can be regarded as the root vectors with respect to the simple roots α_1 and α_2 respectively for A_2 . The third positive root vector corresponding to $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ is just the commutator of a and b , i.e.,

$$c = [a, b], \quad (4.2)$$

which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} [c, a] &= 0 = [c, b], \\ [h, c] &= (\mu + 1)c. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

The first equation in eq.(4.3) results from the Serre relation (4.1b). If we take h_1 and h_2 as the Cartan elements in the Chevalley basis for A_2 and

$$\begin{aligned} [h_1, a] &= 2a, [h_1, b] = -b, \\ [h_2, a] &= -a, [h_2, b] = 2b, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

then,

$$h = \frac{2\mu + 1}{3}h_1 + \frac{\mu + 2}{3}h_2. \quad (4.5)$$

The cocommutative Hopf algebraic structure of A is endowed with by

$$\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$$

$$S(x) = -x, S(1) = 1; \epsilon(x) = 0, \epsilon(1) = 1$$

Let B be the quantum double to A and t, d, f, g be its dual generators to h, a, b, c respectively. According to the last sections, a straightforward calculation gives the Hopf algebraic structure of B :

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(d) &= d \otimes 1 + e^{-\mu t} \otimes d, \\ \Delta(f) &= f \otimes 1 + e^{-t} \otimes f, \\ \Delta(g) &= g \otimes 1 + e^{-(\mu+1)t} \otimes g - e^{-t} d \otimes f, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S(d) &= -e^{\mu t}d, \\
S(g) &= -e^{(\mu+1)t}(g + d \otimes f), \\
S(f) &= e^t f,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

and the multiplication relation between A and B

$$\begin{aligned}
[h, d] &= -\mu d, \\
[h, f] &= -f, \\
[h, g] &= -(\mu + 1)g, \\
[a, d] &= 1 - e^{-\mu t}, \\
[b, f] &= 1 - e^{-t}, \\
[c, g] &= 1 - e^{-(\mu+1)t}, \\
[a, g] &= -f, \\
[b, g] &= e^{-t}d, \\
[a, f] &= 0 = [b, d], \\
[c, d] &= 0 = [c, f], \\
[t, s] &= 0, x = a, b, c, h.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

The quantum double $D(2)$ is generated by a, b, c, h, t, d, e, f with the relations (4.1a),(4.2) (4.3) and (4.8) as an associative algebra. Its quasi-triangular Hopf algebraic structure is endowed with by eqs.(4.7) and the universal R-matrix

$$\hat{R} = e^{h \otimes t} e^{a \otimes d} e^{h \otimes f} e^{c \otimes g}, \tag{4.9}$$

5 The Representation Theory and Many-Parameter R-matrices

One purpose of building quantum double is to obtain the solutions of the QYBE in terms of its universal R-matrix and matrix representations. In order to find the solutions of QYBE associated with the exotic quantum double D , we should study its representation theory. In fact, for a given representation $T^{[x]}$ of D :

$$T^{[x]} : D \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$$

on the linear space V where x is a continuous parameter, we can construct a R-matrix

$$R(x, y) = T^{[x]} \otimes T^{[y]}(\hat{R})$$

satisfying the QYBE

$$R_{1,2}(x, y)R_{1,3}(x, z)R_{2,3}(y, z) = R_{2,3}(y, z)R_{1,3}(x, z)R_{1,2}(x, y), \quad (5.1)$$

Here, x, y and z appear as the color parameters [24] similar to the non-additive spectrum parameters in QYBE. This additivity for R-matrices was first found in ref.[15,16] for the chiral Potts model in statistical mechanics. Thus, it is necessary to study the representation theory and construct the many-parameter representations for the exotic quantum double D . However, to write down an explicit representation of a general D is rather overlaborate. So we only discuss the typical example $D(2)$ in this section, but the main ideas and method can be directly applied to the general case.

To simplify our discussion, we have to distinguish between the trivial and non-trivial D -modules.

Definition 1. *The action of an operator on the representatrion space V is called to be trivial if its kernal is the whole space that it acts on.*

Definition 2. *A $D(2)$ -module V is called to be trivial if at least one of the generators of D acts trivially on V ; otherwise, it is called non-trivial module.*

Before studying the representation theory of $D(2)$, we would like to give a remark on the above definations. To study the trival $D(2)$ -mdule is much easier than that of a non-trivial one. In fact, the structure of a non-trivial $D(2)$ module collapses into that of the module of a simpler algebra D' . For example, if the action of t in $D(2)$ is trivial, one only need to study the module of the assciative algebra generated by h, a, b, c, d, f, g with non-zero commutatioin relations

$$[a, b] = c, [a, g] = -f, [b, g] = d$$

$$[h, a] = \mu a, [h, b] = b,$$

For this reason, we will mainly study the non-trivial $D(2)$ -model.

Having the above description, we are now in the position to prove a proposition as a central result for the representation theory of $D(2)$.

Proposition 5. *There doese not exist a finite dimensional irreducible $D(2)$ -module.*

Proof. Suppose there exists a finite dimensional irreducible $D(2)$ -model V and

$T : D \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ is the corresponding finite dimensional irreducible representation. For simplicity we by x denote $T(x)$ as follows for $x \in D(2)$. Since t belongs to the center of D , t must be a non-zero scalar in non-trivial finite dimensional irreducible representation according to the Schur lemma. Otherwise, if t is zero, V is trivial. Because C is an algebraic closure, there must be an eigenvector v such that

$$hv = \xi v, \xi \in C$$

Noticing the vectors $v, av, a^2v, \dots, a^n v \dots$ correspond to the distinct eigenvalues $\xi, \xi + \mu, \xi + 2\mu, \dots, \xi + n\mu \dots$ for $\mu \neq 0$, we come to the conclusion that there exists $r \in Z^+$ such that $v, av, a^2v, \dots, a^{r-2}v, a^{r-1}v = u$ are linearly independent and $a^r v = 0$. Similarly, there are $s, q \in Z^+$ such that the non-zero $u, bu, b^2u, \dots, b^{s-1}u = w$ are linearly independent and $b^s u = 0$; the non-zero vectors $w, cw, c^2w, \dots, c^{q-1}w = z$ are linearly independent and $c^q w = 0$. Then, we can prove that $az = bz = cz = 0$ and thus the vector z generates a $D(2)$ -submodule

$$S = \text{Span}\{F(m, n, l) = d^m f^n g^l z \mid m, n, l \in Z^+\}$$

under the action of $D(2)$. Thanks to the irreducibility of V and its finite dimension, we must have $S = V$ and conclude that there must exist m', n', l' so that

$$\begin{aligned} dF(m' - 1, n, l) &= 0, \\ fF(m, n' - 1, l) &= 0, \\ gF(m, n, l' - 1) &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

that is to say, the dimension of V is $m'n'l'$ of S . However, it follows from eq.(5.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= adF(m' - 1, 0, 0) = ad^{m'} z \\ &= [d^{m'} a + m'(1 - e^{-\mu t})]z = m'(1 - e^{-\mu t})z, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $m' = 0$. Similarly, $n' = l' = 0$. This means the $D(2)$ -module is trivial.

According to the above proposition, for the study of non-trivial representation, we only need to focus on two cases, the indecomposable (reducible, but not completely reducible) representations and the infinite dimensional irreducible representations. Now, we only discuss the later. To construct an infinite dimensional irreducible representation explicitly, we define a Verma-like space

$$V(\eta, \pi) = \text{Span}\{|M\rangle = |m, n, l\rangle = a^m b^n c^l \mid 0(\eta, \pi) \rangle \mid m, n, l \in Z^+\}$$

based on the vacuum-like state $|0(\eta, \pi)\rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned} d|0(\eta, \pi)\rangle &= f|0(\eta, \pi)\rangle = g|0(\eta, \pi)\rangle = 0 \\ h|0(\eta, \pi)\rangle &= \eta|0(\eta, \pi)\rangle, t|0(\eta, \pi)\rangle = \pi|0(\eta, \pi)\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

where $\eta, \pi \in \mathbb{C}$. The existence of the vacuum-like state $|0(\eta, \pi)\rangle$ is easily proved by considering that t and h commutes each other and $(a, b, c), (d, f, g)$ and (h, t) act as the ‘lifting’ operators, ‘lowing’ operator and the Cartan operators respectively for a classical Lie algebra.

Proposition 6. *On the Verma-like space, the infinite dimensional representation $T^{[\eta, \pi]}$*

$$\begin{aligned} h|M\rangle &= [\eta + m\mu + (1 + \mu)l]|M\rangle, \\ a|M\rangle &= |M + e^1\rangle \\ b|M\rangle &= |M + e^2\rangle - m|M - e^1 + e^3\rangle \\ c|M\rangle &= |M + e^3\rangle \\ d|M\rangle &= m(e^{-\mu\pi} - 1)|M - e^1\rangle, \\ f|M\rangle &= n(e^{-\pi} - 1)|M - e^2\rangle \\ g|M\rangle &= l(e^{-(\mu+1)\pi} - 1)|M - e^3\rangle + mn(e^{-\pi} - 1)|M - e^1 - e^2\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

is irreducible. Here $e^1 = (1, 0, 0), e^2 = (0, 1, 0), e^3 = (0, 0, 1)$ are the unit vectors in the lattice space $Z^3 : \{M = (m, n, l) \mid m, n, l \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$

Proof. Using the commutation relations of $D(2)$, we can first prove by induction for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

$$\begin{aligned} da^n &= a^n d + n(e^{-\mu t} - 1)a^{n-1}, \\ fb^n &= b^n f + n(e^{-t} - 1)b^{n-1}, \\ gc^n &= c^n g + n(e^{-(\mu+1)t} - 1)c^{n-1}, \\ ga^n &= a^n g + nfa^{n-1}, \\ gb^n &= b^n g - ne^{-t}db^{n-1}, \\ ba^n &= a^n b - nca^{n-1}, \\ ab^n &= b^n a + ncb^{n-1}, \\ ha^n &= a^n h + n\mu a^n, \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

$$hb^n = b^n h + nb^n,$$

$$hc^n = c^n h + n(1 + \mu)b^n.$$

The eqs.(5.4) follows from eqs.(5.5)and (5.3) immediately.It is not difficult to verify that the eqs.(5.4) indeed define a representation of $D(2)$. By considering that the indices m, n and l not only decrease but also increase by unit 1, it can be proved that this representation is irreducible if it is non-trivial.

Let us make an observation that there exist many parameter μ, π and η . Among them μ and η are allowed by the quantum double structure and the representation theory respectively while π is due to the existence the central element t . Since η and π can be used to distinguish the different representations ,we can set $x = (\eta, \pi)$ and obtain the colored R-matrices with two dimensional color parameters x where the parameter μ is intrinsic and plays the similar role to that of the q in the standard quantum double -quantum algebras. In fact, for a rank- l classical Lie algebra, we can introduce $l - 1$ independent intrinsic paramters to the corresponding exotic quantum double since its Cartan subalgebra is l dimensional.

6. Discussions

To conclude this paper, we should give some remarks on our exotic quantum double and its relations to the known results ,such as the Hopf algebraic structure for the function algebra on the formal group [43,44],the extended Hesenberg-Weyl algebra (the boson algebra) as a quantum double [45-47] and so on.

i.) From the construction of the exotic quantum double in this paper, we can see that a commutative(Abelian) algebra ,eg.,the subalgebra B , can be endowed with a non-cocommutative Hopf algebraic structure and its quatum daul and quantum double can be deduced as non-commutative algebras. Such a process can be regarded as the inversion of the construction in this paper and maybe provide us a scheme of ‘quantization’ from commtative object to non-commutative one. An example of this ‘quantization’ was given [45] recently. A simplest associative algebra is generated by two commuting generators X and H .Its non-cocommutative Hopf algebraic structure is defined by

$$\Delta(H) = H \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes H, \Delta(X) = X \otimes 1 + e^{-H} \otimes X,$$

$$S(H) = -H, S(X) = -e^H X, \epsilon(X) = 0 = \epsilon(H)$$

Let Y and N be the dual generators to X and H respectively. Then the quantum dual has cocommutative Hopf algebraic structure the elements X, Y, H and N generate a quantum double $D(1)$ with the only non-zero commutation relations

$$[N, X] = X, [N, Y] = -Y, [X, Y] = 1 - e^{-H}$$

This quantum double $D(1)$ is just the special example that A is the ‘Half’ UEA of A_1 . There exists a homomorphism

$$a \rightarrow X, a^+ \rightarrow Y, E \rightarrow 1 - e^{-H}, \hat{N} \rightarrow -N$$

from the boson algebra generated by the creation operator a^+ , the annihilation operator a , the number operator $\hat{N}$ and the central operator E to this quantum double where the only non-zero commutation relations for the boson algebra are

$$[a, a^+] = E, [\hat{N}, a] = -a, [\hat{N}, a^+] = a^+$$

This example shows the so-called ‘quantization’ from commutative object to non-commutative one in which the quantum Yang-Baxter equation is enjoyed by the universal R-matrix

$$\hat{R} = \exp(X \otimes Y) \exp(N \otimes H)$$

ii.) It has to be pointed out that there are some difficulties in the further developments of the exotic quantum double theory. When one takes the subalgebra B to be the whole UEA of a classical algebra, we hardly write down the dual basis explicitly and so the construction scheme of this paper can not work well. The similar problem also appears in the discussion in terms of the formal group. How to generalize the method and ideas of this paper to work on the case of the whole UEA other than a Borel subalgebra is the first open question we should mention. The second open question is how to find a finite dimensional representation for the exotic quantum double except the example for A_1 mentioned above. It is well-known that the finite dimensional R-matrices usually make sense in the quantum inverse scattering method and even in the exactly-solvable models in statistical mechanics. Thus, it is also expected that some new finite dimensional R-matrices can follow from the exotic quantum double through its universal R-matrix where the finite dimensional representations of the exotic quantum double must be used. However, although we can do it for the special case of A_1 by building the finite dimensional indecomposable representation of $D(1)$

on certain quotient space on linear space $D(1)$, we can not obtain a finite dimensional representation for other higher-rank exotic quantum double by the same method due to the existence of the multi-center in certain subalgebra. Therefore, there needs the further works on finite dimensional representation.

iii.) In the formal group theory of Lie algebra [43], the bialgebra structure of the dual to the UEA of a classical Lie algebra can be given abstractly in terms of the formal group. It is not difficult to further define the antipode for this dual bialgebra. So, in this abstract way, the Hopf algebraic structure can be endowed with to this dual algebra. However, writing out the explicit Hopf algebraic structure, namely, the explicit multiplication relations, coproduct, antipode and counit for the dual generators, completely depends on the explicit evaluation of the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula for classical Lie algebra. However, it is much difficult to do it even for the simple case e.g., $SU(2)$. The study in this paper avoids this evaluation so that not only the dual Hopf algebraic structure is obtained, but also the corresponding quantum double -the exotic quantum double is built for the Borel subalgebra of the UEA of arbitrary classical Lie algebra by combining the two subalgebras dual to each other.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to express his sincere thanks to Prof. C.N. Yang for drawing his attentions to the research field related to the quantum Yang-Baxter equation and for giving him very kindly helps. He thanks Prof. L. Tankhtajan for many useful and instructive discussions who point out the possible relation of this work to the relevant topics of formal group. He also thanks Prof. B. McCoy for telling the works on chiral Potts model and some discussions. He is supported by Cha Chi Ming fellowship through the CEEC in State University of New York at Stony Brook, and also is supported in part by the NFS of China through Northeast Normal University.

References

- 1.C.N.Yang,Phys.Rev.Lett.19(1967)1312
- 2.R.Baxter,Ann.Phys.70(1972)193
- 3.E.K.Sklyanin,L.A.Takhtajian and L.D.Faddeev,Theor.Mathem.Fisica 40(1979)194
- 4.P.P.Kulish and N.Y.Reshetikhin,J.Phys.A.16(1983),L591
- 5.R.J.Baxter,*Exactly-Solved Models in Statistical Machanics* Academic.Press ,1982.
- 6.A.B.Zamolodchikov,Al.B.Zamolodchikov ,Ann.Phys.120(1979)253
- 7.V.G.Drinfeld,Proc.ICM.Berkeley,1986,(ed.By A.Gleason,AMS,1987),p.798
- 8.M.Jimbo,Lett.Math.Phys.10(1985)63
- 9.P.P.Kulish and N.Y.Reshetikhin,Zap.nauchn.Seminarod LOMI 101(1981)101
10. L.D.Faddeev and L.A.Takhtajian,Lect.Notice in Physics 246(1986)166, Springer-Verlag
- 11.N.Y.Reshetikhin,LOMI preprints E-4 and E-11(1987)
- 12.M.Rosso,Commun.Math.Phys.117(1989)307
- 13.A.Kirillov and N.Y.Reshetikhin,Commun.Math.Phys.134(1990)421
- 14.H.C.Lee,M.Couture and N.C.Schmeing,Chalk River preprint CRNL-TP-1125,1988.
- 15.M.L.Ge,Y.S.Wu and K.Xue,Inter.J.Mod.Phys.A6(1991) 1645
- 16.Y.Cheng,M.L.Ge and K.Xue,Commun.Math.Phys.136(1991)196.
- 17.H.Au-Yang,B.McCoy,J.Perk,S.Tan and M.L.Yan,Phys.Lett.A123(1987)219
- 18.B.McCoy,J.Perk,S.Tan and C.H.Sah,Phys.Lett.A125(1987)9
- 19.R.J.Baxter,J.Perk,H.Au-Yang,Phys.Lett.A.128(1988)138
- 20.V.V.Bazhanov and Y.G.Stroganov,J.Stat.Phys.59(1990)799
- 21.E.Date,M.Jimbo,K.Mike and T.Miwa,Phys.Lett.A148(1990)45
- 22.E.Date,M.Jimbo,K.Mike and T.Miwa,Commun.Math.Phys.137(1991)133
- 23.V.V.Bazhanov ,R.M.Kashaev , V.V.Mangazeev and Y.G.Stroganov,Commun.Math.Phys.138(1991)393
- 24.J.Murakami,Osaka preprints,1990
- 25.C.P.Sun,X.F.Liu and M.L.Ge,J.Math.Phys.32(1991)2409
26. M.L.Ge,X.F.Liu and C.P.Sun,Phys.Lett.A155(1991)137
- 27.M.L.Ge,X.F.Liu and C.P.Sun,Phys.Lett.A160(1991)433
- 28.M.L.Ge,C.P.Sun and K.Xue,,Inter.J.Mod.Phys.A7(1992)6609
- 30.G.Luatig,Contemp.Math.82(1989)59

- 32.P.Roche and D.Arnaudon,Lett.Math.Phys.17(1989)295
- 33.C.P.Sun,J.F.Lu and M.L.Ge ,J.Phys.A23(1990)L1199
- 34.L.Alvarez-Gaume,C.Gomez and G. Sirra,Nucl.Phys.B(1990)347
- 35.V.Pasquier and H.Saleur,,Nucl.Phys.B(1990)523
- 36.C.De Concini and V.G.Kac,*Representations of Quantun Group at roots of 1*,preprint 1990
- 37.C.P.Sun and M.L.Ge, ,J.Phys.A24(1991)L969;3265;3731.
- 38.C.P.Sun and M.L.Ge, ,J.Phys.A25(1992)19
- 39.C.P.Sun,H.C.Fu and M.L.Ge,Lett.Math.Phys.23(1990)19
- 40.X.F.Liu and C.P.Sun,Science in China A35(1992)73
- 41.X.F.Liu and M.L.Ge,Lett.Math.Phys. (1992)197
- 42.M.Jimbo,*The Topics from the Representations of $U(g)_q$* ,in Nankai Lect.on Math.Phys.ed by M.L.Ge,World Scientific,1992
- 43.J-P Serre,*Lie algebras and Lie Groups* ,V.A.Benjamin.INY,1965
- 44.L.A.Tankhtajian,*Quantum Groups*,in Nankai Lect.Series In Mathematical Phys.1989,ed by M.L.Ge and B.H.Zhao,World Scientific,1991
- 45.C.P.Sun,X.F.Liu,and M.L.Ge,J.Math.Phys.34(1992),in press
- 46.C.P.Sun,preprint ITP.SB-92-61,1992.
- 47.W.Li,C.P.Sun and M.L.Ge, ITP.SB-92-59,1992.